

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/769,127	01/30/2004	Ping Mei	200209576-1	8740
		7590 04/17/2007 CKARD COMPANY		200209576-1 EXAMINE	1INER
	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			TRAN, THANH Y	
			ISTRATION .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2822	
			•		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				04/17/2007	PAPER
				04/11/2007	17ti EK

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/769,127	PING MEI			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh Y. Tran	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	ss		
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI			•		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parameters adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 s set forth in (b)		
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entry and some properties.  AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef. will not be entered be	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	ow); etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying t	he issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13-20,22 and 23</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nático of Appeal will po	nt he entered		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is	necessary		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apporry ory and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacr	iea.		
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>13. Other:</li> </ul>	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Bank U D Zandra V. Smit	th miner		
		ipervisory Patent E 13 April 20	07		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Applicant arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For example, applicant argued that Taussig et al does not disclose the formation of 3D patterns in a substrate. In response, the examiner disagrees with applicant argument because figure 2(a) of Taussig et al clearly discloses a 3-dimensional (3D) pattern (212) is formed on the substrate (see Figure 2(a), and col. 3, lines 38-51).